

# **Interview Summary**

Application No.

09/467,530

Applicant(s)

DANISH ET AL.

Examiner

Guillermo Perez

Art Unit

2834

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Guillermo Perez.

(3) Karl E. Tamai.

(2) William M. Hanlon.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 04 March 2003.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 117, 25

Identification of prior art discussed: Ito, Glandinoto and reviewed other art of record.


Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Mr. Hanlon proposed amendment to the claims in order to overcome the art rejections presented in the last action. More review and/or amendment will be done to present the formal amendment.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

PRIMARY EXAMINER  
KARL TAMAI  


Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Examiner's signature, if required